



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				,,	VS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		1	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/886,044	06/30/97	BHATTACHARJEE		A 71	007/13/	
_		HM12/0315	一	EXAMINER DEVI,S		
FOLEY & LARDNER WASHINGTON HARBOUR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3000 K STREET NW			1641	35		
BUITE 500 WASHINGTON D	C 20007-51	09		DATE MAILED:	TE MAILED: 03/15/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks



Advisory Action



08/886,044

Applicant(s)

Bhattacharjee et al.

Examiner

S. Devi, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1641



		OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 🔀	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	ь) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	date or	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be sed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appel perioc	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Ap _l	olican is NC	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 19, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
	The p	oposed amendment(s):					
	□ w	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	□ w	Il not be entered because:					
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NC	TE:					
	X A	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	7	he Applicants' cancellation of claims 19 and 20 has rendered the obviousness double patenting, 112/first and					
		econd, and 103 rejections moot.					
	New sepa	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
1001		iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition					
	for allowance hecauses						
	of th	e reasons of record (see the Final rejection mailed 08/19/2000). The arguments presented in the Aπer-Final					
		est for reconsideration are non-persuasive.					
	The the i	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.					
X	For	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Clair	ns allowed: None					
	Clair	ns objected to: None					
	Clair	ns rejected: <u>1-3, 5-8, and 15-17</u>					
		proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
[X]	Othe	Interview Summary (paper no. 34). JAMES C. HOUSEL 3/3/20					
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					